

Agenda
Heritage Preservation Commission
City Of Edina, Minnesota
Multi Purpose Room-Public Works

Tuesday, November 9, 2021
7:00 PM

- I. Call To Order
- II. Roll Call
- III. Approval Of Meeting Agenda
- IV. Approval Of Meeting Minutes
 - A. October 12, 2021 Heritage Preservation Commission Minutes
- V. Community Comment

During "Community Comment," the Board/Commission will invite residents to share relevant issues or concerns. Individuals must limit their comments to three minutes. The Chair may limit the number of speakers on the same issue in the interest of time and topic. Generally speaking, items that are elsewhere on tonight's agenda may not be addressed during Community Comment. Individuals should not expect the Chair or Board/Commission Members to respond to their comments tonight. Instead, the Board/Commission might refer the matter to staff for consideration at a future meeting.

- VI. Reports/Recommendations
 - A. Update to Edina Heritage Landmark Eligible List
 - B. 2021 CLG Report

VII. Chair And Member Comments

VIII. Staff Comments

IX. Adjournment

The City of Edina wants all residents to be comfortable being part of the public process. If you need assistance in the way of hearing amplification, an interpreter, large-print documents or something else, please call 952-927-8861 72 hours in advance of the meeting.



CITY OF EDINA

4801 West 50th Street

Edina, MN 55424

www.edinamn.gov

Date: November 9, 2021

Agenda Item #: IV.A.

To: Heritage Preservation Commission

Item Type:

Minutes

From: Emily Bodeker, Assistant City Planner

Item Activity:

Subject: October 12, 2021 Heritage Preservation Commission
Minutes

Action

ACTION REQUESTED:

Approve the October 12, 2021 Heritage Preservation Commission minutes.

INTRODUCTION:

ATTACHMENTS:

Minutes: October 12, 2021



Minutes
City of Edina, Minnesota
Heritage Preservation Commission
Tuesday, October 12, 2021

I. Call to Order

Chair Schilling called the meeting to order at 7:03 p.m.

II. Roll Call

Answering roll call in-person were: Chair Schilling, Commissioners Lonnquist, Pollock, Cundy, Everson, Hassenstab and student member Maheshwari.

Staff present: HPC Staff Liaison Emily Bodeker and Preservation Consultant Robert Vogel

III. Approval of Meeting Agenda

Motion made by Cundy seconded by Hassenstab to approve the meeting agenda as presented. All voted aye. The motion carried.

IV. Approval of Meeting Minutes

Motion made by Pollock seconded by Lonnquist to approve the September 14, 2021, meeting minutes as presented. All voted aye. The motion carried.

V. Community Comment: None.

VI. Reports/Recommendations

A. 4001 Sunnyside Road-Landmark Designation

Liaison Bodeker informed the Commission 4001 Sunnyside Road was one of the properties that the information on landmark designation that the Commission worked on was sent to.

Motion Lonnquist seconded by Hassenstab to direct Preservation Consultant Vogel to begin/update the landmark nomination study for 4001 Sunnyside Road. All voted aye. The motion carried.

B. COA: 4634 Edgebrook Place

Staff introduced the final plans for a COA for a new home at 4634 Edgebrook Place. Staff explained there were no changes made to the initial plans submitted and final plans presented. The HPC asked the applicant if the property owners would be amenable to an archeology survey or phase I shovel test prior to construction. The applicant noted he would speak to the property owner and would contact staff if they were open to the shovel test.

Motion made by Cundy seconded by Everson to approve the COA as presented based on the findings and with the conditions listed in the staff report. All voted aye. The motion carried.

C. Update to Edina Heritage Landmark Eligible List

Motion Lonnquist seconded by Hassenstab to table this item to the November 9th HPC meeting so staff can work with the Commission on correcting/editing some of the addresses on the Eligible list. All voted aye. The motion carried.

The HPC requested staff work with IT and the GIS Specialist to update the Historic Property map on the City's website.

VII. Chair and Member Comments

Chair Schilling informed the Commission that she presented the proposed 2022 work plan to City Council. Staff informed the commission she should have an update on the work plan/questions asked by Council at the November meeting. Staff will present proposed work plans to City Council on November 3rd.

VIII. Staff Comments: None.

IX. Adjournment

Motion made by Pollock seconded by Lonnquist to adjourn the meeting at 7:45 pm. All voted aye. The motion carried.

Respectfully submitted,
Emily Bodeker



CITY OF EDINA

4801 West 50th Street

Edina, MN 55424

www.edinamn.gov

Date: November 9, 2021

Agenda Item #: VI.A.

To: Heritage Preservation Commission

Item Type:
Report and Recommendation

From: Emily Bodeker, Assistant City Planner

Item Activity:

Subject: Update to Edina Heritage Landmark Eligible List

Action

ACTION REQUESTED:

Approve the updated 2021 Edina Heritage Landmark eligible list.

INTRODUCTION:

Consultant Vogel field houses and removed addresses that no longer qualify as heritage preservation resources due to compromised historic integrity caused by recent alterations.

The HPC should review the attached list of landmark eligible properties. It is important to periodically review and revise the inventory of the heritage resources considered worthy of preservation.

ATTACHMENTS:

Updated Heritage Landmark Eligible List

EDINA HERITAGE LANDMARK ELIGIBLE LIST

Revised November 1, 2021

The properties listed below have been determined eligible for consideration as Edina Heritage Landmarks by the Heritage Preservation Commission. For a property to qualify as landmark eligible, it must meet at least one of the heritage landmark eligibility criteria by being associated with an important historic context and retaining historic integrity of those physical characteristics necessary to convey its historic significance. Evaluations have been based on information obtained through physical examination and documentary research (i.e., survey). Inclusion in the heritage resources inventory does not automatically qualify a property for landmark nomination—some of the survey data are incomplete or out of date, and the registration requirements for some property types sometimes change as the city-wide heritage resources survey proceeds. Several of the properties included in earlier lists have been eliminated because they no longer meet established requirements for historic significance and integrity.

The list is an abstract of information contained in the city's heritage resources inventory files, which consists of reports, inventory forms, research notes, maps, photographs and other survey data compiled since the city's heritage preservation program was established in the 1970s. It is organized by historic context and individual properties are listed by street address in alphanumeric order. This updated list does not include the 11 heritage preservation resources previously designated Edina Heritage Landmarks by the Edina City Council.

Agriculture and Rural Life

6128 Brookside Avenue – *Gilbert and Sarah Sly House* (historic name)

Rare surviving example of late-19th century Edina farmhouse architecture associated with agriculture and rural development; built and occupied by the Sly family ca. 1866-1881 and later adapted for use as a rural nonfarm dwelling. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2007) based on the "Historic Buildings of Edina" survey by Jeffrey Hess (1979). 2007 Heritage Award recipient.

Suburbanization

4520 44th Street – *Claude D. Kimball House* (historic name)

Notable example of the Tudor Revival style architecture associated with the broad pattern of early 20th century suburban development in Edina; built in 1914 and believed to be one of the first Tudor style homes built in Edina. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2014) based on the preservation planning consultant's recommendation.

4439 50th Street – *St. Stephen the Martyr Episcopal Church* (historic name)

The stone church on Minnehaha Creek is a notable example of religious architecture associated with the broad pattern of suburban development in Edina; built in 1938, the original construction shows the influence of the Gothic Revival style and is considered an important work by architect Louis B. Bersback of the firm of Cram and Ferguson, Concord, MA. HPB finding of landmark eligibility based on the "Historic Buildings of Edina" survey by Jeffrey Hess (1979).

Morningside

4410 Curve Avenue – *Nels Nissen and Johanna Leerskov House* (historic name)

Notable example of the vernacular American Foursquare house type associated with early residential development in the Morningside neighborhood; built in 1910, the two-story house shows influence of the Arts and Crafts Movement and exhibits Colonial Revival and Craftsman style detailing. The house is also

significant for its association with Nels Leerskov (b. 1864), who was a prominent home builder in Morningside, the first neighborhood resident elected to Edina village council, and one of the prime movers behind the incorporation of Morningside village in 1920. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2010) based on the “Historic Buildings of Edina” survey by Jeffrey Hess (1979).

4311 Eton Place – *Oliver and Iva Skone House* (historic name)

Notable example of the Mission Revival style associated with early residential development in the Morningside neighborhood; built ca. 1913. Oliver Skone was a Swedish immigrant who settled in Minneapolis ca. 1890, where he was employed as a printer and newspaper publisher; he and his wife moved to Morningside in 1905 and became real estate developers. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2012) based on the “Historic Buildings of Edina” survey by Jeffrey Hess (1979).

4300 France Avenue – Johnson House (owner)

Notable example of vernacular residential architecture, illustrating the influence of the cottage and bungalow small house forms; built in 1905 or 1906, it is believed to be one of the oldest homes on France Avenue. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2014) based on the preservation planning consultant’s recommendation.

4384-4390 France Avenue – *Odd Fellows Hall/Golden Lodge No 167* (historic name)

Rare surviving example of the two-story commercial block property type associated with the streetcar system and early development in the 44th & France area; built in 1916, the two-story building housed various stores and offices on the first floor, with the lodge hall on the upper floor. HPB finding of landmark eligibility based on the results of the “Westgate Survey” (2012).

4400-4412 France Avenue – *Griffin Drug Building* (historic name)

Rare surviving example of a commercial building associated with the streetcar system and early development in the 44th & France area; built in 1920 and currently occupied by Bruegger’s Bagels. HPB finding of landmark eligibility based on the results of the “Westgate Survey” (2012).

4247 Grimes Avenue – Bauer House (owner)

Notable example of the vernacular American Foursquare property type associated with early residential development in the Morningside neighborhood; the two-story residence was built in 1914 and is considered significant for its architectural character and association with the broad pattern of neighborhood development. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2014) based on a survey conducted by the preservation planning consultant.

4201 Morningside Road – *Edina-Morningside Community Church* (historic name)

Notable example of religious architecture associated with the broad pattern of residential development in the Morningside neighborhood; the original church building was constructed in 1922 and shows the influence of the Late Gothic Revival style; it is also considered an important work by Minneapolis architect Harry Wild Jones (1859-1935). The HPB based its finding of landmark eligibility on the “Historic Buildings of Edina” survey by Jeffrey Hess (1979). A landmark nomination study is forthcoming in 2021.

4246 Scott Terrace – *Nels and Etta Erickson House* (historic name)

Notable example of early 20th century residential architecture; built in 1911, the two-story residence shows the influence of the Mission Revival style. It may also be significant for its association with Nels Erickson (b. 1863), a Swedish immigrant and proprietor of Medium Hollow Brick Tile Co., Minneapolis. HPB finding of significance (2010) based on the “Historic Buildings of Edina” survey by Jeffrey Hess (1979).

3910-3912 Sunnyside Road – *Convention Grill* (historic name)

One-story commercial building with Modern style detailing associated with mid-20th century development in the 44th & France/Westgate business district; built ca. 1940, the building is distinguished by its Art Deco style detailing but is primarily significant for its association with commercial development in the 44th & France neighborhood. HPB finding of landmark eligibility based on the results of the “Westgate Survey” (2012).

Southdale

66th Street at France Avenue – *Southdale Shopping Center* (historic name)

Historic site consisting of an enclosed retail mall and open space; built in 1954-56. The property is associated with important events in Edina history and comprises a specific built environment shaped by historical processes of land use and economic development; although the property is often cited as the “oldest shopping mall in the United States” and an important work by urban planner Victor Gruen (1903-1980), the shopping center building is not considered a preservation resource in its own right. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2011) based on research conducted by the preservation planning consultant.

6909 Hillcrest Lane – *Sara Moore House* (historic name)

A notable, well preserved example of mid-20th century residential architecture in the Ranch style; built in 1955-56 for Sara W. Moore (1911-1992), a Minneapolis schoolteacher. One of the first homes built in the Southdale First Addition, it was designed by the prominent Minneapolis architect R. N. Thorshov (one of the original members of the Minneapolis Heritage Preservation Commission). HPC finding of landmark eligibility (2014) based on information provided by HPC member Robert Moore; a draft landmark nomination study was also prepared by the preservation planning consultant (2015).

Country Clubs and Parks

6200 Interlachen Boulevard – *Interlachen Country Club Golf Course* (historic name)

The subject property encompasses an extensive constructed landscape that represents an outstanding example of early 20th century golf course design; built in 1911 and enlarged in 1919-21. The original 18-hole, 612 yard course on “Edina Boulevard” (later renamed Interlachen Boulevard) was designed by Willie Watson of Pasadena, California, one of the pioneers of American golf course design; the 1919-21 expansion was designed by Donald J. Ross (1872-1948), another important designer of American golf courses. The golf course was restored in 1986 in accordance with Ross’ original design. The Tudor Revival style clubhouse designed by Minneapolis architect Cecil Bayless Chapman (1877-1918) is not considered a preservation resource due to substantial alterations and additions, but contributes to historic character of the golf club property. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2008) based on the consultant report “Phase I Survey for Interlachen Boulevard/Blake Road Trail” (2005).

Minnehaha Creek

Downstream from Hwy. 100 – *Mill Pond Cascade* (historic name)

Rare preserved example of a designed historic landscape associated with Federal relief construction along Minnehaha Creek during the late 1930s. The reinforced concrete water control structure was designed and built in 1934-35 by the Civil Works Administration (CWA) and the Works Progress Administration (WPA); the fountain is no longer in use. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2014) based on the consultant report “Heritage Preservation Resources Minnehaha Creek” (2009)

Midcentury Modern Architecture and Landscapes

5501 Londonderry Road – *Arthur Erickson House* (historic name)

Notable example of mid-century modern domestic architecture; built in 1950. The Ranch style residence was designed by Los Angeles architect Lloyd Wright (1890-1978) for Arthur Erickson (1910-1966), president of the Erickson Petroleum Corp., one of seven brothers who founded what became the Holiday Companies. Architect Wright was the son of Frank Lloyd Wright (1867-1959). HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2014) was based on information obtained from the property's owner.

6001 Pine Grove Road – *Paul and Mary Carson House*/"Maryhill" (historic name)

Notable example of mid-century modern domestic architecture; built in 1941. The house was designed by Prairie School architect William Gray Purcell (1880-1965) of Minneapolis firm Purcell & Elmslie (1907-1921) for Dr. Paul Carson (d. 2005), as wedding present for his wife, Mary F. Carson. The property is currently protected under the terms of a conservation easement held by the Minnesota Land Trust. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2014) based on the results of the "Suburban Development in Edina Since 1935" historic context study (2013).

5117 Schaefer Road – *Schaefer House and Stable* (historic name)

Rare preserved example of a single-family dwelling and accessory building finished with native stone; the house was built in 1936; the guest house (originally a stable) was built in 1932). HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2014) was based on the results of the "Suburban Development in Edina Since 1935" historic context study (2013).

7205 Shannon Drive – *Bruce A. Abrahamson House* (historic name)

Rare example of an architect-designed residence in the International style; designed and built in 1959 by the original owner, Bruce A. Abrahamson. The property is considered architecturally significant because of its association with Abrahamson (d. 2008), who is recognized as an important Minnesota architect. HPB finding of landmark eligibility based on the results of a survey conducted by the preservation planning consultant (2014).

5015 Wooddale Lane – *Albert R. Blackbourne House* (historic name)

Notable example of mid-century modern architecture showing the influence of the Colonial Revival style; built in 1939 for Minneapolis businessman Albert R. Blackbourne (1893-1968). The property is historically significant for its association with Boston architect Royal Barry Wills (1895-1962), a popular home designer and architectural writer. The house was reportedly built from plans drawn by Wills for his award-winning entry in the 1938 "Eight Houses for Modern Living" competition sponsored by *Life* magazine and *Architectural Forum*. HPB finding of landmark eligibility based on the results of a survey conducted by the preservation planning consultant (2012).

Morningside Bungalows

3920 44th Street – Weiss House (owner)

Notable example of the vernacular bungalow property type; built in 1909. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2012) based on the Morningside Bungalows MPS (2010).

4006 44th Street – Carlson House (owner)

Notable example of the vernacular bungalow property type; built in 1912. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2012) based on the Morningside Bungalows MPS (2010).

4012 44th Street – Pilegaard House (owner)

Notable example of the vernacular bungalow ("California bungalow" variant); built in 1912/1925. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2012) based on the Morningside Bungalows MPS (2010).

4016 44th Street – Sweetser House (owner)

Notable example of the vernacular bungalow cottage property type; built in 1918. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2012) based on the Morningside Bungalows MPS (2010).

4018 44th Street – Tanaka House (owner)

Notable example of the vernacular bungalow (“California Bungalow” variant); built in 1914. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2012) based on the Morningside Bungalows MPS (2010).

4020 44th Street – Abraham House (owner)

Notable example of the vernacular bungalow property type; built in 1913. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2012) based on the Morningside Bungalows MPS (2010).

4116 44th Street – *William and Lillian Riley/Simmons House* (historic name)

Notable example of the vernacular bungalow (“California Bungalow” variant); built in 1911. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2012) based on the Morningside Bungalows MPS (2010).

4206 44th Street – Gokemeuer House (owner)

Notable example of the vernacular bungalow property type; built in 1919. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2012) based on the Morningside Bungalows MPS (2010).

4210 44th Street – Mantyh House (owner)

Notable example of the vernacular bungalow property type; built in 1922. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2012) based on the Morningside Bungalows MPS (2010).

4230 Alden Drive – Shannon House (owner)

Notable example of the vernacular bungalow (“California Bungalow” variant); built in 1910. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2012) based on the Morningside Bungalows MPS (2010),

4238 Alden Drive – House

Notable example of the vernacular bungalow (hip-roofed variant) property type; built in 1920. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2012) based on the Morningside Bungalows MPS (2010).

4400 Curve Avenue – Anderson-Thang House (owner)

Notable example of the vernacular bungalow property type; built in 1921. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2012) based on the Morningside Bungalows MPS (2010).

4401 Curve Avenue – Thompson House (owner)

Notable example of the vernacular bungalow-cottage property type; built in 1924. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2012) based on the Morningside Bungalows MPS (2010).

4406 Curve Avenue – Canersky House (owner)

Notable example of the vernacular bungalow property type; built in 1922. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2012) based on the Morningside Bungalows MPS (2010).

4219 Grimes Avenue – Cavanaugh-Lavercombe House (owner)

Notable example of the vernacular bungalow-cottage (“Portico/Semi-Bungalow” variant) property type; built in 1920. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2012) based on the Morningside Bungalows MPS (2010).

4243 Grimes Avenue – Otremba House (owner)

Notable example of the vernacular bungalow property type; built in 1921. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2012) based on the Morningside Bungalows MPS (2010).

4246 Grimes Avenue – Burke House (owner)

Notable example of the vernacular bungalow property type; built in 1924. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2012) based on the Morningside Bungalows MPS (2010).

4307 Grimes Avenue – Bjerke House (owner)

Notable example of the vernacular bungalow property type; built in 1923. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2012) based on the Morningside Bungalows MPS (2010).

4103 Morningside Road – Johnson House (owner)

Notable example of the vernacular bungalow property type; built in 1913. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2012) based on the Morningside Bungalows MPS (2010).

4105 Morningside Road – Hansen House (owner)

Notable example of the vernacular bungalow property type; built in 1920. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2012) based on the Morningside Bungalows MPS (2010).

4211 Morningside Road – Hayhoe House (owner)

Notable example of the vernacular bungalow property type; built in 1902. May predate construction of the streetcar line. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2012) based on the Morningside Bungalows MPS (2010).

4305 Morningside Road – *Henry G. and Lois Onstad House* (historic name)

Notable example of the vernacular bungalow (“Airplane” variant) property type; built in 1920. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2012) based on the Morningside Bungalows MPS (2010).

4317 Morningside Road – Hobbs House (owner)

Notable example of the vernacular bungalow-cottage property type; built in 1923. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2012) based on the Morningside Bungalows MPS (2010).

4400 Morningside Road (original address: 4248 Lynn) – Berman House (owner)

Notable example of the vernacular bungalow property type; built in 1918. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2012) based on the Morningside Bungalows MPS (2010).

4223 Scott Terrace – Tyler House (owner)

Notable example of the vernacular bungalow property type; built in 1923. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2012) based on the Morningside Bungalows MPS (2010).

4226 Scott Terrace -- Conroy House (owner)

Notable example of the vernacular bungalow property type; built in 1925. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2012) based on the Morningside Bungalows MPS (2010); needs to be re-evaluated (2021 consultant recommendation).

4233 Scott Terrace – Umlor House (owner)

Notable example of the vernacular bungalow property type; built in 1924. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2012) based on the Morningside Bungalows MPS (2010). This property was featured in the 2018 “Homes by Architects Tour” sponsored by the Minnesota AIA.

4234 Scott Terrace – Kennedy House (owner)

Notable example of the vernacular bungalow-cottage property type; built in 1919. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2012) based on the Morningside Bungalows MPS (2010).

4235 Scott Terrace – Malberg House (owner)

Notable example of the vernacular bungalow-cottage property type; built in 1923. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2012) based on the Morningside Bungalows MPS (2010).

4237 Scott Terrace – Rohlf House (owner)

Notable example of the vernacular bungalow-cottage property type; built in 1913. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2012) based on the Morningside Bungalows MPS (2010).

4241 Scott Terrace – *J. T. Smith House* (historic name)

Notable example of the vernacular bungalow-cottage property type; built in 1923. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2012) based on the Morningside Bungalows MPS (2010).

4343 Scott Terrace – Anderson House (owner)

Notable example of the vernacular bungalow property type; built in 1923. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2012) based on the Morningside Bungalows MPS (2010); needs to be re-evaluated (2021 consultant recommendation).

4245 Scott Terrace – Beito House (owner)

Notable example of the vernacular bungalow property type; built in 1922. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2012) based on the Morningside Bungalows MPS (2010).

4246 Scott Terrace – Balabuszko-Reay House (owner)

Notable example of the vernacular bungalow property type; built in 1911. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2012) based on the Morningside Bungalows MPS (2010).

4000 Sunnyside Road – Myre House (owner)

Notable example of the vernacular bungalow property type; built in 1911. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2012) based on the Morningside Bungalows MPS (2010).

4001 Sunnyside Road – Thompsen House (owner)

Notable example of the vernacular bungalow property type; built in 1923. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2012) based on the Morningside Bungalows MPS (2010).

4008 Sunnyside Road – Lathrop House (owner)

Notable example of the vernacular bungalow property type; built in 1915. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2012) based on the Morningside Bungalows MPS (2010).

4009 Sunnyside Road – Hughes-Pigeon House (owner)

Notable example of the vernacular bungalow property type; built in 1918. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2012) based on the Morningside Bungalows MPS (2010).

4011 Sunnyside Road – Schmidt House (owner)

Notable example of the vernacular bungalow property type; built in 1923. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2012) based on the Morningside Bungalows MPS (2010).

4014 Sunnyside Road – Ellickson House (owner)

Notable example of the vernacular bungalow property type; built in 1922. HPB finding of landmark eligibility (2012) based on the Morningside Bungalows MPS (2010).



CITY OF EDINA

4801 West 50th Street

Edina, MN 55424

www.edinamn.gov

Date: November 9, 2021

Agenda Item #: VI.B.

To: Heritage Preservation Commission

Item Type:

Report and Recommendation

From: Emily Bodeker, Assistant City Planner

Item Activity:

Subject: 2021 CLG Report

Action

ACTION REQUESTED:

Approve the 2021 Certified Local Government report.

INTRODUCTION:

ATTACHMENTS:

2021 CLG Report

**EDINA HERITAGE PRESERVATION COMMISSION
ANNUAL CERTIFIED LOCAL GOVERNMENT (CLG) REPORT
2021 FISCAL YEAR**

The following is a summary of the projects, reviews and activities reported to the City Council and Minnesota Preservation Office for the fiscal year 2021, (October 1, 2020 to September 30, 2021):

A. Local Designation of Preservation Sites:

1. See attached list of properties designated Edina Heritage Landmarks & those determined eligible for designations as **Exhibit "A"**.
2. No new properties were determined eligible for Edina Heritage Landmark designation.
3. The city protected approximately 600 historically significant properties in fiscal 2020 and intends to add more in 2022.
4. No significant heritage resources were destroyed or damaged as a result of any activity financed, permitted, or otherwise supported by the City of Edina.

B. Review of Requests for a Certificate of Appropriateness in the historic Country Club District:

1. 4634 Edgebrook Place

Request: New Home
Action: Withdrawn

2. 4630 Drexel Avenue

Request: Request to demolish/remove more than 50% surface area of exterior walls
Action: Denied 2/9/21

3. 4524 Drexel Avenue

Request: Construction of new detached garage and changes to front façade
Action: Approved 2/9/21

4. 4618 Arden Avenue

Request: Demolition of existing detached garage and construction of new detached garage
Action: Approved 2/9/21

5. 4518 Casco Avenue

Request: Demolition of existing detached garage and construction of new detached garage
Action: Approved 4/13/21

6. 4633 Arden Avenue

Request: Construction of a new detached garage and changes to front façade
Action: Approved 4/13/21

7. 4600 Browndale Avenue

Request: Changes to street facing façade
Action: Approved 4/13/21

8. 4628 Bruce Avenue

Request: Demolition of existing detached garage and construction of new detached garage
Action: Approved 4/13/21

9. 4634 Edgebrook Place

Request: New Home
Action: 1st Meeting 9/14/21
Approved 10/14/21

C. Heritage Preservation Commission Membership: 2021

See attached HPC Roster **Exhibit "B"**

D. National Register Nominations in 2021: None

The following properties in Edina **are listed on the National Register of Historic Places:**

- 1) George Baird House, 4400 West 50th Street
- 2) Jonathan Taylor Grimes House, 4200 West 44th Street
- 3) Grange Hall, 4918 Eden Avenue
- 4) Cahill School, 4924 Eden Avenue
- 5) Country Club District
- 6) Wooddale Bridge #90646, Wooddale Avenue over Minnehaha Creek

E. Local Inventories and Studies:

- Local Inventory: “Historic Building Survey of Edina, MN”, Prepared by Setter, Leach & Lindstrom, July 1979, Historic Consultant, Jeffrey A. Hess
- “Historic Context Study”, Prepared by Robert C. Vogel and Associates, July 1999
- Recodified Section 850.20 “Edina Heritage Landmarks” of the Zoning Ordinance, 2003
- Comprehensive Heritage Preservation Plan, June 2006
- Heritage Preservation Element of City’s Comprehensive Plan submitted to Metropolitan Council, 2009
- Morningside Bungalow Multiple Property Study, 2010
- Section 801 Heritage Preservation Board of City Code replaced with Section 1500/1504, 2011
- Thematic Study for Heritage Resources Associated with Edina Women, 2011
- Suburban Development in Edina Since 1935: A Historic Context Study, 2013

F. Assurances:

The HPC held monthly meetings as needed. The minutes of said meetings are recorded and kept at City Hall, Edina, MN. The meetings were posted and open to the public. The assurance statement is attached for Public Participation and Commission records.

G. Activities Accomplished in 2021 and Planned for 2022:

2021 Activities & Accomplishments:

1. The City of Edina contracted with Pathfinder CRM, LLC to provide heritage preservation advice and services.
2. The 20201 Edina Heritage Award-Awarded during Preservation Month (May) was awarded to the Suckow-Ziemer Residence at 5900 Olinger Boulevard. The home was recognized for its architecture and details, which follow classic California Modern designs refined by Joseph Eichler and others. The house was built in 1966 by the Lundgren Company. Previous owners restored interior elements and in 2019 Suckow and Ziemer restored and refined the homes courtyard, a distinguishing feature of mid-century modern homes.
3. Staff Liaison Bodeker and Commissioner Lonnquist attended sessions during the virtual 2021 MNSHPO conference.
4. A subgroup of Commissioners worked on resources to share with property owners giving benefits and reasons to designate their properties and invited property owners to consider designation. The HPC expects at least one property to be designated in 2022 due to the outreach in 2021.

Proposed 2022 Work Plan Initiatives: (Attached as **Exhibit “C”**) to be considered by the Edina City Council, December 2021.

Prepared by: Emily Bodeker, Assistant City Planner and Staff Liaison to the Heritage Preservation Commission

Annual HPC Certified Local Government Assurances

Name of HPC Edina Heritage Preservation Commission

Fiscal Year: 2021

1. I hereby certify that the Edina Heritage Preservation Commission has adhered to the public participation provisions as stipulated under Section III.D of the "Minnesota Certified Local Government Procedures Manual" issued by the Minnesota State Historic Preservation Office.

2. I hereby certify that the Edina Heritage Preservation Commission has adhered to the procedures of the State Archives Department of the Minnesota Historical Society, regarding commission records (see the State Archives publication "[Preserving and Disposing of Government Records](#)," pursuant to Minnesota Statutes 138.17).

Local Government Official

Date

(This form may be used to certify compliance with requirement III.E.2.f of the Annual Report required for all CLGs.)



2021
EDINA HERITAGE PRESERVATION COMMISSION

<u>Name</u>	<u>Contact Information</u>
Garrett Knudsen	gknudsen@mac.com
Sarah Nymo	sarah@nymoarchitecture.com
Annie Schilling	annieschilling@msn.com
Jane Lonnquist	janelonnquist@gmail.com
Darrah Widmoyer	dcputell@hotmail.com
Bob Cundy	bobcundy@gmail.com
Mark Hassenstab	hassenstab@gmail.com
Rachel Pollock	rachelhetlandpollock@gmail.com
Thomas Everson	ever0150@umn.edu
Ashwin Maheshwari (S)	mahesh52306@gmail.com
Sadie Roy (S)	sadier22968@isd273.org

Staff Liaison:	Emily Bodeker Assistant City Planner ebodeker@edinamn.gov	City of Edina (952-826-0462) 4801 West 50 th Street Edina, MN 55424
Consultant:	Robert Vogel Pathway CRM rcvogel@pathfindercrm.com	319 So. Division Ave. P.O. Box 503 Spring Grove, MN 55974



Commission: Heritage Preservation Commission

2022 Annual Work Plan Proposal-DRAFT

Initiative # 1	Initiative Type <input type="checkbox"/> Project <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ongoing / Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Event Council Charge <input type="checkbox"/> 1 (Study & Report) <input type="checkbox"/> 2 (Review & Comment) <input type="checkbox"/> 3 (Review & Recommend) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 (Review & Decide)		
Initiative Title Review and decide on Certificates of Appropriateness (COA) applications for changes to heritage landmark designated properties.	Deliverable • COAs	Leads All Commission	Target Completion Date Ongoing
Budget Required: (Completed by staff) Are there funds available for this project? If there are not funds available, explain the impact of Council approving this initiative. No additional funds required. Preservation Consultant funding comes from the Planning Department budget.			
Staff Support Required (Completed by staff): How many hours of support by the staff liaison? Communications / marketing support? Pre-Application meeting with staff liaison and application review time/report from Staff Liaison and Consultant Vogel. Staff time is dependent on how many applications/preapplication meeting requests are received.			
Liaison Comments: Processing COAs is an ongoing item.			
City Manager Comments:			
Progress Q1:			
Progress Q2:			
Progress Q3:			
Progress Q4:			

Initiative # 2	Initiative Type <input type="checkbox"/> Project <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ongoing / Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Event Council Charge <input type="checkbox"/> 1 (Study & Report) <input type="checkbox"/> 2 (Review & Comment) <input type="checkbox"/> 3 (Review & Recommend) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 (Review & Decide)		
Initiative Title Recruit nominees and award and promote the 2022 Heritage Preservation Award during Preservation Month in May.	Deliverable • Award the 2022 Heritage Award, post nomination form and award winner on Edina social media	Leads Sarah Nymo	Target Completion Date May
Budget Required: (Completed by staff) Are there funds available for this project? If there are not funds available, explain the impact of Council approving this initiative. No additional funds required. Money for plaque comes from Planning budget.			
Staff Support Required (Completed by staff): How many hours of support by the staff liaison? Communications / marketing support? Public outreach: press release, social media posts, publish existing website form for nominations. Article on winner after award is given.			
Liaison Comments: The HPC will continue to award the 2022 Heritage Preservation Award during Preservation month.			
City Manager Comments:			
Progress Q1:			
Progress Q2:			
Progress Q3:			
Progress Q4:			

Initiative # 3	Initiative Type <input type="checkbox"/> Project <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ongoing / Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Event Council Charge <input type="checkbox"/> 1 (Study & Report) <input type="checkbox"/> 2 (Review & Comment) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 (Review & Recommend) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 (Review & Decide)		
Initiative Title Based on owner interest, nominate eligible properties as Edina Heritage Landmarks and add additional properties to the eligible property list.	Deliverable • Add to eligible property list • Designate additional Edina Heritage Landmark properties	Leads All commission	Target Completion Date Ongoing
Budget Required: (Completed by staff) Are there funds available for this project? If there are not funds available, explain the impact of Council approving this initiative. No additional funds required. Money for plaque comes from Planning budget.			
Staff Support Required (Completed by staff): How many hours of support by the staff liaison? Communications / marketing support? Public outreach: press release, social media posts, publish existing website form for nominations. Article on winner after award is given.			
Liaison Comments: This is an ongoing item for the HPC.			
City Manager Comments:			
Progress Q1:			
Progress Q2:			
Progress Q3:			
Progress Q4:			

Initiative # 4	Initiative Type <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Project <input type="checkbox"/> Ongoing / Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Event Council Charge <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 (Study & Report) <input type="checkbox"/> 2 (Review & Comment) <input type="checkbox"/> 3 (Review & Recommend) <input type="checkbox"/> 4 (Review & Decide)		
Initiative Title Review plan of treatment document for each Landmark property.	Deliverable • Highlight potential work plan items related to plans of treatment for future work plans	Leads All Commission	Target Completion Date 2022
Budget Required: (Completed by staff) Are there funds available for this project? If there are not funds available, explain the impact of Council approving this initiative. No additional funds required.			
Staff Support Required (Completed by staff): How many hours of support by the staff liaison? Communications / marketing support? None-review will take place during HPC meetings as time on agendas allows.			
Liaison Comments: Any additional work that stems from review will be proposed on future work plans.			
City Manager Comments:			
Progress Q1:			
Progress Q2:			
Progress Q3:			
Progress Q4:			

Initiative # 5	Initiative Type <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Project <input type="checkbox"/> Ongoing / Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Event Council Charge <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 (Study & Report) <input type="checkbox"/> 2 (Review & Comment) <input type="checkbox"/> 3 (Review & Recommend) <input type="checkbox"/> 4 (Review & Decide)		
Initiative Title Explore the development of a century home recognition program in Edina based on programs in other cities; define the resources needed to manage a potential program in the future.	Deliverable • Information and a recommendation for a future century home program in Edina.	Leads Jane Lonnquist Mark Hassenstab	Target Completion Date 2022
Budget Required: (Completed by staff) Are there funds available for this project? If there are not funds available, explain the impact of Council approving this initiative. No budget requested at this time. Initiative is to gather information/research on a potential program in Edina and information on potential future costs if implemented.			
Staff Support Required (Completed by staff): How many hours of support by the staff liaison? Communications / marketing support? Initiative and research to be completed by Commission leads			
Liaison Comments: This item is for research, the creation of a program would be on a future work plan.			
City Manager Comments:			
Progress Q1:			
Progress Q2:			
Progress Q3:			
Progress Q4:			

Initiative # 6	Initiative Type <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Project <input type="checkbox"/> Ongoing / Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Event Council Charge <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 (Study & Report) <input type="checkbox"/> 2 (Review & Comment) <input type="checkbox"/> 3 (Review & Recommend) <input type="checkbox"/> 4 (Review & Decide)		
Initiative Title Test archeological model created by the 2019 archeological study. Archaeologist (GK) will coordinate with City Staff and Comm. Ed. to conduct a “community archaeology project” in Edina that will include: 1) Phase I Reconnaissance — based on results of 2019 study and additional analyses — in order to identify unrecorded cultural resources on city-owned/managed properties and 2) Phase II Evaluation (“digs” conducted to determine eligibility for listing on the National Register) of any previously-unrecorded archaeological sites identified in “1)”.	Deliverable <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Archeological model would be tested secretary of the interior qualified archeologist on HPC will coordinate with City Staff and Community Education to conduct pro-bono test digs on designated city properties. • Promote tests and outcomes through Edina social media and other outlets. Use dig test results to guide HPC recommendations for additional archeological work in future work plans. 	Leads Garrett Knudsen Annie Schilling	Target Completion Date
Budget Required: (Completed by staff) Are there funds available for this project? If there are not funds available, explain the impact of Council approving this initiative. There are not funds available for this project. Grant opportunities may be available if funding is required.			
Staff Support Required (Completed by staff): How many hours of support by the staff liaison? Communications / marketing support? The HPC will need permission and will need to work in coordination with the Parks Department on potential sites and potential programming. Social media and communications support to promote the community event.			
Liaison Comments: HPC will need to work with the Parks Department to see if this is a possibility on city owned property, logistics and sites/location.			
City Manager Comments:			
Progress Q1:			
Progress Q2:			
Progress Q3:			
Progress Q4:			

Initiative # 7	Initiative Type <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Project <input type="checkbox"/> Ongoing / Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Event Council Charge <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 (Study & Report) <input type="checkbox"/> 2 (Review & Comment) <input type="checkbox"/> 3 (Review & Recommend) <input type="checkbox"/> 4 (Review & Decide)		
Initiative Title Use education to promote preservation and avoid demolition by neglect.	Deliverable • Create and distribute educational materials to property owners, real estate agents, contractors and city staff who interface with Heritage Resource Properties/Districts.	Leads Jane Lonquist Bob Cundy Mark Hassenstab	Target Completion Date End of 2022
Budget Required: (Completed by staff) Are there funds available for this project? If there are not funds available, explain the impact of Council approving this initiative. There are not funds available for this project.			
Staff Support Required (Completed by staff): How many hours of support by the staff liaison? Communications / marketing support? Help creating education materials (graphic design) and social media/marketing support.			
Liaison Comments: Staff is also working on potential escrow fee requirements on building permits for Historic Properties.			
City Manager Comments:			
Progress Q1:			
Progress Q2:			
Progress Q3:			
Progress Q4:			

Parking Lot: (These items have been considered by the BC, but not proposed as part of this year's work plan. If the BC decides they would like to work on them in the current year, it would need to be approved by Council.)